# SECURITY GUARD ADVISORY COMMITTEE MINUTES OF DISCUSSION WITHOUT QUORUM FOR OFFICIAL MEETING MADISON, WISCONSIN

**November 12, 1998** 

**PRESENT:** Darlyne Barlow, Edward Byrne, Mark Harder, Mark Kirch, James

Martin and Shawn Smith

**ABSENT**: Jerrold Antoon, Dennis Brewer, Tom Kocourek, Oscar Mireles,

Mark Riesinger, Richard Scanlon

**STAFF PRESENT:** Clete Hansen

**GUEST:** Rick Raemisch

#### CALL TO ORDER

The meeting was called to order at 10:07 a.m. by Clete Hansen, Chair, upon confirmation that the public notice was timely given. The Chair concluded that there was not a quorum. Therefore, no official actions could be taken; however, those present would be able to share information.

#### **AGENDA**

The agenda was informally approved.

### **MINUTES (5/7/98)**

The minutes were not put to a vote, due to lack of a quorum. The minutes will be resubmitted at the next scheduled meeting.

#### ADMINISTRATIVE REPORT

Clete Hansen reported on telephone calls from committee members who stated that they would not be able to attend the meeting. He also referred to a written communication from Jerry Antoon about future meeting dates.

Clete Hansen also referred to the following items in the meeting packet:

- 1. The current committee roster. Members submitted corrections and additions.
- 2. A thank you note to Steve Reed of Capitol Indemnity.
- 3. Correspondence from Jerry Antoon, regarding future meeting dates.

4. A letter form Terry Chitwood of Fenske Security, Inc., regarding fees for private security permits.

#### **OLD BUSINESS**

Clete Hansen reported that legal staff had reviewed the legislative history for more information about the meaning of "directly and indirectly employed by the state or a municipality." Nothing was found which would help us interpret this phrase. One of the prosecutors in the Division of Enforcement has advised that he would like to seek an Attorney General's Opinion concerning the meaning of this phrase.

# REPORT OF THE DEPARTMENT REGARDING RENEWAL OF PERMITS AND OTHER LICENSING ISSUES

Clete Hansen reported on the following items:

- 1. The number of renewals and non-renewals (See article in next issue of the Regulatory Digest).
- 2. Criminal records checks during renewals.
- 3. The fact that a private security person may not renew unless employed. The department will request introduction of legislation to change this provision. (See article in next issue of the Regulatory Digest).
- .4. Late renewals and the \$25 late filing fee (See article in next issue of the Regulatory Digest).
- 5. Unlicensed practice.
- 6. The department is proceeding with the 90-day temporary permit legislative change.
- 7. Criminal records policy (See policy in meeting packet).
- 8. Insurance and bonding. The department will attempt to change the law, so that all private detective agencies must have a liability policy. This change was informally supported by members present at the meeting. Member observation: clients' requirements in contracts really drive the amount of insurance needed by a company.
- 9. The fact that payment for permits may now be made by credit card.

#### **NEW BUSINESS**

Most of the discussion of the department's report is reflected in the list immediately above, except the following:

c. Conviction of Crime. The discussion group used the remaining time to discuss two memorandums which described criminal convictions of two applicants for a private security permit. The names of the applicants were crossed out, all of the information in the memos was actual and real. The staff person who prepared the memos was waiting for a response from Clete Hansen as to whether to deny the applications, grant the permits with limitation or grant them outright. The committee applied the criteria contained in the department's convictions policy, discussing all of the facts and

circumstances involved in each memo. Clete Hansen reported that he has to make many decisions on many similar memos every week. Committee members expressed appreciation of the difficulty of this task and of the procedures followed by the department in making such decisions.

## **ADJOURNMENT**

The meeting adjourned at 12:05 p.m.

# **NEXT MEETING DATE**

The next meeting was scheduled for Thursday, February 11, 1998 at 10 a.m.